

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/606,957 06/27/2003 | | 06/27/2003 | Yusuke Kanno | 4845 | |
| 24956 | 7590 | 03/29/2004 | | EXAM | IINER |
| MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C. | | | | AUDUONG, GENE NGHIA | |
| 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ALEXANDRIA VA 22314 | | | | 2818 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summers | 10/606,957 | KANNO ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Gene N Auduong | 2818 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apportant period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the co | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED | ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| ·— ·· | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>26-29</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-29</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| • | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/818,509. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>June 27, 2003</u>. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/606,957 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/818,509, filed on March 28, 2001.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. This office is acknowledged the information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on June 27, 2003. The information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ito (U.S. Pat. No. 4,493,278)

The applied reference has a common Inventor and Assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Page 3

Regarding claim 26, Ito disclose a semiconductor integrated circuit comprising: a memory cell (figure 9, memory cell MC); first data line coupled to an output node of the memory cell (figure 9, data line DO coupled to node A of memory cell MC); second data line paired with the first data line (second data line /DO paired with data line DO); and a precharge circuit for precharging the first data line to a first precharge potential and precharging the second data line to a second precharge potential different from the first precharge potential (figure 9, precharge transistor Q19 precharging the first data line to a first potential and precharge transistor Q20 precharging the second data line to second potential; see figure 9).

Regarding claim 27, Ito disclose the semiconductor integrated circuit according claim 26, further comprising: a sense amplifier (see figure 3, sense amplifier circuit SA) amplifying information stored in the memory cell to either a first potential or second potential, wherein the first precharge potential is equal to the second potential, and wherein the second precharge potential is between the first and second potentials (figure 9, col. 15, lines 21+).

Regarding claim 28, Ito discloses the semiconductor integrated circuit according wherein the sense amplifier has a first input node to receive the information outputted from the first data line, and second input node to receive the second potential as a reference potential (figure 3 and figure 9).

Regarding claim 29, Ito discloses the semiconductor integrated circuit according to claim 26, wherein the memory cell has a second input node coupled to the second data line receive an information signal but not disclose wherein the memory cell has three transistors. The number of transistors to form a memory cell is well known and is the design matter that can be decided at the discretion of a person skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,957 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 26-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4, 6-8 and 14-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,519,195. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are claiming the same scope of the invention.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gene N Auduong whose telephone number is (571) 272-1773.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,957 Page 5

Art Unit: 2818

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GA March 20, 2004

> Gene N Auduong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2818